Spin-orbit coupling: a relativistic effect



Binghai Yan

Max Planck Institute for Chemical Physics of Solids, Dresden, Germany www.cpfs.mpg.de/yan

The Summer School on "Topological Matter States 2016" Donostia-San Sebastián, Spain, 22-26 Aug 2016

Outline

Spin-orbit coupling in an atom

- Atom SOC
- Contraction of s and p shells

Spin-orbit coupling in solids

- Atomic SOC, such as HgTe
- Rashba effect
- Dresselhaus effect
- SOC in graphene



Figure credit: M. Chapman & C. Sá de Melo, Nature 471, 41–42 (2011)

A hydrogen-like atom



A hydrogen-like atom

$$\begin{split} E_n &= -\frac{\mu c^2 Z^2 \alpha^2}{2n^2} \quad \sim -13.6 \; (Z^2/n^2) \; \text{eV} \\ r_1 &\simeq \left(\frac{4\pi\varepsilon_o}{e^2}\right) \frac{\hbar^2}{Zm_e}, \quad \sim a_0 = 0.529 \; Z^{-1} \text{ \AA} \\ v_1 &= \frac{Ze^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0\hbar} \qquad \sim (Z/137) \; c \end{split}$$





Lorentz factor

Relativistic effect

 $m = \gamma m_0$ $= m_0/\sqrt{1-(v/c)^2}.$

 $a_0 = \hbar^2 / m e^2.$

A hydrogen-like atom

Lorentz transformation

$$egin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_{\parallel}{}' &= \mathbf{E}_{\parallel} \ \mathbf{B}_{\parallel}{}' &= \mathbf{B}_{\parallel} \ \mathbf{E}_{\perp}{}' &= \gamma \left(\mathbf{E}_{\perp} + \mathbf{v} imes \mathbf{B}
ight) \ \mathbf{B}_{\perp}{}' &= \gamma \left(\mathbf{B}_{\perp} - rac{1}{c^2} \mathbf{v} imes \mathbf{E}
ight) \end{aligned}$$



$$\mathbf{B} = \frac{1}{c^2} \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{v}. \quad \sim Z^4$$

$$\mathbf{E} = -\frac{\mathbf{r}}{r}\phi' \quad \mathbf{B} = -\frac{1}{rc^2}\phi'\mathbf{r}\times\mathbf{v}$$

As $\mathbf{l} = \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{p}$, and hence $\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{v} = \frac{1}{m_e} \mathbf{l}$, we get the Hamiltonian

$$b_{\rm SO} = -\mu_{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \mathbf{B} = \frac{1}{m_e r c^2} \phi' \mu \cdot \mathbf{l} = \frac{e}{m_e^2 r c^2} \phi' \mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{l}, \quad {}^{1/2}_{\text{Thomas factor}}$$

Relativistic effects





The Relativistic Hamiltonian

$$\begin{split} \left[\frac{1}{2m_e}\left(\overrightarrow{p}-\frac{e}{c}\overrightarrow{A}\right)^2 - \frac{e\hbar}{2m_ec}\overrightarrow{\sigma}\cdot\overrightarrow{\nabla}\times\overrightarrow{A} - \frac{\overrightarrow{p}^4}{8m_e^3c^3} \\ + \frac{e\hbar^2}{8m_e^2c^2}\overrightarrow{\nabla}\cdot\overrightarrow{\nabla}\phi - \frac{e\hbar}{4m_e^2c^2}\overrightarrow{\sigma}\cdot\overrightarrow{\nabla}\phi\times\overrightarrow{p}\right]\psi = (E^{nr}-e\phi)\psi. \end{split}$$

The Fully Relativistic Hamiltonian

Tab. 1.1 Summary of relativistic and spin-dependent interaction terms.

states.

Perturbation	Description	Magnitude	
$\frac{1}{2m_e} \left(\overrightarrow{p} - \frac{e}{c} \vec{A} \right)^2$	The nonrelativistic motion, $p^2/2m_e$, and the inter- action terms $\frac{e}{2m_ec}\vec{A}\cdot\vec{\nabla}+\frac{e^2}{2m_ec^2}\vec{A}\cdot\vec{A}$. The lat- ter terms are responsible for absorption and emis- sion; they can be written as $-e\vec{r}\cdot\vec{E}$ (see Section 4.1.1).	$\gtrsim 10^5~{ m cm}^{-1}$	Electron's point of view Proton's magnetic field
$\frac{e\hbar}{2m_ec}\boldsymbol{\varphi}\cdot\vec{\nabla}\times\vec{A}$	The spin-1/2 ($\vec{s} = \underline{\sigma}/2$) interaction with a magnetic field ($\vec{B} \equiv \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}$). This term gives the correct g-factor, $g = 2$, and magnetic moment of the electron, $\mu = \frac{e\hbar}{2m_ec}g\vec{s}$.	$pprox 1~{ m cm}^{-1}$	A
$\frac{\overrightarrow{p}^4}{8m_e^3c^3}$	Relativistic mass correction term.	$pprox 0.1~{ m cm}^{-1}$	(+)
$\frac{e\hbar^2}{8m_e^2c^2}\vec{\nabla}\cdot\vec{\nabla}\phi$	The "Darwin" term responsible for <i>s</i> -state shifts. It represents the relativistic nonlocalizability of the electron and is related to both the negative-energy sea and its rapid motion.	$< 0.1~{\rm cm}^{-1}$	
$\frac{e\hbar}{4m_e^2c^2} \not c \cdot \vec \nabla \phi \times \overrightarrow{p}$	Spin–orbit interaction. As shown in Problem 1.4, this term can be written as $\frac{e\hbar^2}{2m_e^2c^2}\frac{1}{r}\frac{d\phi}{dr}\left(\overrightarrow{l}\cdot\overrightarrow{s}\right)$, where \overrightarrow{l} is the orbital angular momentum. In contrast to the previous term, this does not affect c	$10-10^3 \text{ cm}^{-1}$	Light-Matter Interaction: Atoms and Molecules in External Fields ar W. T. Hill and C. H. Lee Copyright © 2007 WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, We

Molecules in External Fields and Nonlinear Optics. rlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim ISBN: 978-3-527-40661-6

Experimental consequence: fine structure

Total angular momentum,

 $\overrightarrow{j} = \overrightarrow{l} + \overrightarrow{s}$,

with eigenvalues (of \overrightarrow{j}^2) j(j+1). values that \overrightarrow{j} can assume are

$$j = \begin{cases} l \pm \frac{1}{2}, & l \neq 0, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & l = 0. \end{cases} \Delta E_{nlj} = \Delta E_R + \Delta E_{so} = \alpha^2 \mathcal{R}_M \left(\frac{3}{4n} - \frac{1}{j + \frac{1}{2}} \right) \frac{Z^4}{n^3}$$







 μ_s g B = (5.79 x 10⁻⁵ eV/T)2B = 0.0021 eV B = 18 Tesla A huge field

Experimental consequence: *sp* contraction

$$\langle v_r \rangle_{1s} = Z$$

= 80 for Hg
c, is = 137.035999679

$$\Delta E_{nlj} = \Delta E_R + \Delta E_{so} = \alpha^2 \mathcal{R}_M \left(\frac{3}{4n} - \frac{1}{j + \frac{1}{2}} \right) \frac{Z^4}{n^3}.$$

- Contraction of s and p shells, decrease in E_n
- Expansion of d and f shells, increase in E_n

Example 1: Hg

• Why Hg is in a liquid metal at room temperature?

The 6s² orbital is contracted so much that they only weakly contribute to any bonding, which is weak vdW type.

• The Hg-s state is lower in energy than Te-p states in HgTe (discuss later), giving rise to the topological insulator state.



Experimental consequence: sp contraction

Example 2: Au

• Why does Au have the golden color? (Known Al and Ag are white)





Small gap between filled 5d (expansion) and empty 6s (contraction)

More examples:

atomic ground-state changes, such as Mo $4d^55s^1$ but W $5d^46s^2$ CsAu is a relativistic semiconductor, and CsAu(NR) would be a metal (Au⁻¹)

Two types of SOC in solids

Symmetry-independent:

exists in all types of crystals

stem from SOC in atomic orbitals, similar to that in atoms.

Symmetry-dependent:

exists only in crystals without inversion symmetry

(a) Bychkov-Rashba (surface): usually referred to Surface-Induced-Asymmetry (SIA)

(b) Dresselhaus interaction (bulk): Bulk-Induced-Assymetry (BIA)

exists only in crystals with/without inversion symmetry

(c) General SOC: Local-Space-Asymmetry (LSA), surface and bulk



Symmetry-independent SOC

 Table 3.1.
 Symmetry classification of the bands in the extended Kane model

Single group			Double group					
O_h/T_d		d	Full rotation group \mathcal{R}		O_h/T_d			
	Γ_{-}^{-}	-	$l=1$ (\mathcal{D}_1^-)	j = 3/2	$(\mathcal{D}^{3/2})$	-	Γ_8^-	
	15		p antibonding	j = 1/2	$(\mathcal{D}^{1/2})$	-	Γ_7^-	
	Γ_1^-	-	$l = 0 (\mathcal{D}_0^-)$ s antibonding \longrightarrow	j = 1/2	$(\mathcal{D}^{1/2})$	→	Γ_6^-	
	Γ^+		$l = 1$ (\mathcal{D}_1^+)	j = 3/2	$(\mathcal{D}^+_{3/2})$	→	Γ_8^+	
	1 5		p bonding	j = 1/2	$(\mathcal{D}^+_{1/2})$	\rightarrow	Γ_7^+	

Zinc blend semiconductors Si, Ge, GaAs, CdTe, HgTe

Winkler book 2003'

Symmetry-independent SOC



Symmetry-independent SOC



Due to the strong Hg-6s contraction, the Hg-6s band is lower than Te-5p bands.



Symmetry and band splitting

Time reversal symmetry: $s \rightarrow -s, l \rightarrow -l, s.l \rightarrow s.l, k \rightarrow -k, E_n(s, k) = E_n(-s, -k)$ Inversion symmetry: $s \rightarrow s, l \rightarrow l, s.l \rightarrow s.l, k \rightarrow -k, E_n(s, k) = E_n(s, -k)$



$$\sum_{E_n(s, k) = E_n(-s,k)}$$



TRS + Inversion symmetry

TRS + Inversion breaking

Rashba SOC





Rashba SOC





Dresselhaus SOC



Non Rashba-Dresselhaus SOC



SOC in the graphene model



More general than graphene



We confirm that the surface state near -100 meV is indeed the Bi-pz state. Next, we will fit above ab inito results using a simple TB model in the 2D triangular lattice.

Top Bi atoms form a triangular lattice. The underlying Pt atom.

The Bi layer exhibits C_3 symmetry, rather than C_{6} , due to the Pt layer below it.

Lattice constant: a = 4.6513 Å

Besides the common Rashba effect, there is a spindependent hopping term along a Bi-Bi bond, like the Kane-Mele term in grapehene.

The Hamiltonian can be written as

$$\begin{split} H &= H^{0} + H^{R} + H^{KM} \\ H^{0} &= -t\Sigma_{\langle ij \rangle \sigma} c_{i\sigma}^{+} c_{j\sigma} \\ H^{R} &= \frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{\langle ij \rangle \sigma \sigma'} \left(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \times \frac{\widehat{\boldsymbol{e}_{ij}}}{i} \right)_{z,\sigma\sigma'} c_{i\sigma}^{+} c_{j\sigma'} \\ H^{KM} &= \frac{\beta}{2i} \sum_{\langle ij \rangle \sigma\sigma'} v_{ij} \sigma_{z} c_{i\sigma}^{+} c_{j\sigma'} \end{split}$$

Where, $v_{ij}=-v_{ji}=1$, depends on the relative hopping direction with respect to the underlying Pt neighbor.

Fitting without H^{KM} term



Fitting with H^{KM} term



t=0.35	t=0.35
α = 0.5	α = 0.5
$\beta = 0$	$\beta = 0.1$

More examples:

ZK Liu, B. Yan et al, arXiv:1602.05633, Nature Comm. in press K. Nakajin and S. Murakami, Physical Review B **91**, (2015). X. Zhang, et al, Nature Physics **10**, 387 (2014).

Outline

Spin-orbit coupling in an atom

- Atom SOC
- Contraction of s and p shells

Spin-orbit coupling in solids

- Atomic SOC, such as HgTe
- Rashba effect
- Dresselhaus effect
- SOC in graphene



Figure credit: M. Chapman & C. Sá de Melo, Nature 471, 41–42 (2011)

Spin-orbit coupling: a general relativistic effect in solids



Thanks for your attention!